## FINANCIAL IMPACT STATEMENT

## PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS COMPLETELY.

	ARTMENT Department of Corrections
BOAF	RD/COMMISSION Post Prison Transfer Board
PERS	ON COMPLETING THIS STATEMENT Tawnie Rowell
TELE	PHONE NO. (501) 682-5001 EMAIL Tawnie.Rowell@arkansas.gov
email i	it with the questionnaire, summary, markup and clean copy of the rule, and other documents. attach additional pages, if necessary.
TITLI	E OF THIS RULE Arkansas Parole Board Policy Manual
1.	Does this proposed, amended, or repealed rule have a financial impact?  Yes No V
2.	Is the rule based on the best reasonably obtainable scientific, technical, economic, or other evidence and information available concerning the need for, consequences of, and alternatives to the rule?  Yes  No No
3.	In consideration of the alternatives to this rule, was this rule determined by the agency to be the least costly rule considered? Yes   No
	If no, please explain:
	(a) how the additional benefits of the more costly rule justify its additional cost;
	(b) the reason for adoption of the more costly rule;
	(c) whether the reason for adoption of the more costly rule is based on the interests of public health, safety, or welfare, and if so, how; and
	(d) whether the reason for adoption of the more costly rule is within the scope of the agency's statutory authority, and if so, how.
4.	If the purpose of this rule is to implement a <i>federal</i> rule or regulation, please state the following:

(a) What is the cost to implement the federal rule or regulation?

	Next Fiscal Year
General Revenue	General Revenue
Federal Funds	Federal Funds
Cash Funds	Cash Funds
Special Revenue	Special Revenue_
Other (Identify)	Other (Identify)
Total	Total
(b) What is the additional cost of the s	state rule?
Current Fiscal Year	Next Fiscal Year
General Revenue	General Revenue
Federal Funds	Federal Funds
Cash Funds	Cash Funds
Special Revenue	Special Revenue
Other (Identify)	Other (Identify)
Total	Total
business subject to the proposed, amerule, and explain how they are affecte  Current Fiscal Year	nded, or repealed rule? Please identify those subjec-
business subject to the proposed, amerule, and explain how they are affecte  Current Fiscal Year  S  What is the total estimated cost by fiscal implement this rule? Is this the cost of	nded, or repealed rule? Please identify those subjected.  Next Fiscal Year  \$
business subject to the proposed, amerule, and explain how they are affecte  Current Fiscal Year  S  What is the total estimated cost by fiscal	

7.	With respect to the agency's answers to Questions #5 and #6 above, is there a new or increased
	cost or obligation of at least one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) per year to a private
	individual, private entity, private business, state government, county government, municipal
	government, or to two (2) or more of those entities combined?

Yes	No	✓

If yes, the agency is required by Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(e)(4) to file written findings at the time of filing the financial impact statement. The written findings shall be filed simultaneously with the financial impact statement and shall include, without limitation, the following:

- (1) a statement of the rule's basis and purpose;
- (2) the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule, including a statement of whether a rule is required by statute;
- (3) a description of the factual evidence that:
  - (a) justifies the agency's need for the proposed rule; and
  - (b) describes how the benefits of the rule meet the relevant statutory objectives and justify the rule's costs:
- (4) a list of less costly alternatives to the proposed rule and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;
- (5) a list of alternatives to the proposed rule that were suggested as a result of public comment and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;
- (6) a statement of whether existing rules have created or contributed to the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule and, if existing rules have created or contributed to the problem, an explanation of why amendment or repeal of the rule creating or contributing to the problem is not a sufficient response; and
- (7) an agency plan for review of the rule no less than every ten (10) years to determine whether, based upon the evidence, there remains a need for the rule including, without limitation, whether:
  - (a) the rule is achieving the statutory objectives;
  - (b) the benefits of the rule continue to justify its costs; and
  - (c) the rule can be amended or repealed to reduce costs while continuing to achieve the statutory objectives.